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our Nation's small businesses and the immense challenges they have faced over the past few months. A number of business' whose operations have been curtailed or completely shut down amidst the pandemic is staggering. A common policy effort such as those through the CARES Act appear to have provided some help. Great uncertainty still prevents many from being able to know when, how or if they'll be able to carry on their work.

I know that today's agenda does not focus directly on these matters, but I'm very interested in hearing how these events have affected the way our small businesses have been able to operate, including by raising capital to weather the storm.

So I hope that those participating today will not be shy in sharing what you can, including your ideas about what regulators can do to help in the long-term and perhaps in the immediate future. We may not be able to address all these issues, but it's certainly our job to try.

I see that today's agenda includes a widearray of topics and discussions that will touch on all the stages and sizes of small businesses. You'll also hear from individual founders who may have distinct experiences given their backgrounds in geography. I look forward to hearing about the successes and
challenges such entrepreneurs have faced at different points in the business lifecycle.

Thank you to Martha and the hardworking team from the Office of the Advocate for Small Business for hosting today's event. Thank you to the incredible IT Team at the SEC; I know that transitioning to a virtual forum was no small feat. Finally, thank you all for being with us today. I'm sure this will be a fantastic event.

COMMISSIONER LEE: Good morning and thank you for having me at this 39th Annual Government Business Forum on Small Business Capital Formation. I wish we could all be sitting together in the same room, but I'm pleased that we're still able to meet virtually.

I want to thank our Small Business Advocate, Marth Legg Miller, and her staff for putting together this excellent program. Martha, I miss your presence and your energy and your warmth. I'm looking forward to seeing everyone in person again when that's possible.

Thanks also to all the speakers and panelists today for coming together to share your experiences and your insights. It's challenging to put together an event like this in the present circumstances, and for many, it can be challenging even to absorb the daily news and still focus on our day-to-day work, but those
very challenges make it all the more important that we go forward with this event for several reasons.

First, we know small businesses are particularly vulnerable right now and it's critically important that we find ways to support them as we did with the recent temporary crowd funding means. Today provides another opportunity for us to listen to and learn from small businesses and to better inform our rule making.

Second, this forum's mandate is unique in that it calls on us to engage not only with small businesses, but also with our fellow regulators; that's what challenges us to think about where we sit in the broader capital raising and regulatory landscape.

For instance, we'll be hearing from the Wyoming County Economic Development Authority. How can the SEC work with local authorities and then supplement their efforts to help small businesses? How can we better assist with educational and other initiatives?
It's more important than ever that we collaborate and
work across regulatory channels; think holistically
about how to facilitate capital formation for small businesses.

Third and very importantly, the agenda today highlights women- and minority-owned businesses, as well

Page 17
as, rural entrepreneurs, and I'm very pleased to see that. Our capital formation solutions in this space should be premised on our understanding of the barriers that exist and should be tailored to address those barriers.

Often, we take a rising tide lifts all boats approach in our efforts to facilitate capital raising and we fail to consider the unique challenges that face these particular communities. Simply taking steps to enhance capital formation broadly does not address the underlying reasons why equal opportunities for access to capital fill in the needs for many talented and hardworking minority, female, and rural entrepreneurs.

And let's be clear, when they lose out, we all lose out. We lose their talent, their enormous potential and their breadth of expertise and ideas. It's crucial that we listen to these voices in front of those communities and to amplify those voices, and that we take the time to educate ourselves and inform our regulatory approach regarding the systemic and structural issues communities face.

The forum presents a good opportunity to do just that. And with that, I will leave you to get on with your important agenda, and thank you all, again, for your time and attention on behalf of --

MS. MILLER: Thank you. And next up, we have remarks from Commissioner Hester Peirce.

Commissioner Peirce? It looks like you might be having a little bit of trouble with audio. So Commissioner Peirce, we are going to message you and get you -- oh, there you are. Technology; things that we're learning how to do in the virtual environment. And it looks like we need to make sure we've got an audio connection to use, because I can't quite hear you yet, but I can see your face.

Why don't we do this? While we're getting our audio connected for you, let's take a minute. Let's see.

Nick, are we good on audio connection quite yet? If not, what we can do it --

COMMISSIONER PEIRCE: I think maybe -- maybe I'm live now.

MS. MILLER: I hear you.
COMMISSIONER PEIRCE: I'm going to just keep my remarks very brief. Thank you, Martha, and thank you to everyone who is participating in today's forum.

It's been a really dynamic event in the past, and one that brings together people who really care about Small Business Capital Formation and bring us lots of really valuable ideas. And I'm looking forward to
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```
today's forum in the virtual format. I think it can be
really effective in this format as well.
I can already see from the chat that there's a
dynamic conversation going on. So I will sit back and
listen to the ideas that you bring us as we think about
small business in the short-term, but also in the longer
term and how we -- what we can do to facilitate capital formation.
So again, thank you for your ideas in past
forums, and I think it'll be a fantastic forum this
afternoon, and packed with information for all of us. Thank you.
```

MARKET PERSPECTIVES ON CAPITAL RAISING
MS. MILLER: Well, packed is the operative
word, indeed, Commissioner Peirce, and thank you for joining us.

What I'd like to do now is to share a video highlighting the importance of your input at this year's forum.
(A video plays from timestamp 17:30 through
timestamp 20:35.)
SPOTLIGHT: EMPOWERING WOMEN ENTREPRENEURS
MS. MILLER: Thank you very much. It is now
my pleasure to kick-off our spotlight discussions. Our
first one is on empowering women entrepreneurs:
insights from investors who support women-founded companies.

We are featuring today, Erica Minnihan, Founder and Managing Partner of Reign Ventures and Samara Mejia Hernandez, Founding partner of Chingona Ventures.

As we mentioned above, detailed biographies for speakers are on the forum webpage and they're in our virtual program. In a minute I'm going to hopefully be able to share a slide when these ladies get started talking today that includes their social media hashtags in case your handles -- in case you wanted to follow along and to share some of the insights you learn today.

I'll turn it right on over to you, Erica and Samara, thank you.

MS. MINNIHAN: Hi, how are you? How is everybody doing today? So my name's Erica Duignan Minnihan. I am founder and managing partner of Reign Ventures and 1000 Angels. I have been doing early-stage investing for about 15 years.

For about eight years prior to that, I was in traditional investment banking and my specialty is investing in companies between the pre-seed stage, all the way to Series B, as well as, educating entrepreneurs and investors on capital strategy and how to build
scalable, venture-fundable businesses.
So great to meet you all.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Hi everyone. My name is Samara Mejia Hernandez, and I am founding partner of Chingona Ventures. Chingona Ventures is a venture fund that invests at the earliest stages, so tech companies that have raised less than a million in outside funding.

Prior to this I was at another venture fund based in Chicago, where invested in pre-seed to Series D companies. And then prior to that I was at Goldman Sachs for nearly a decade during the last financial crisis, mainly in sales, but also in technology and operations. I studied my MBA here, in Chicago, at Northwestern University, and I have an engineering degree from University of Michigan.

So I'm excited to be here with everyone to talk about a very important topic that has been escalated in the last few weeks and is something that hopefully Erica and I can provide some color on how we've been able to fund women and minority entrepreneurs for nearly a decade.

MS. MINNIHAN: Great. So you know, I think one of the big challenges that we're facing today as investors is the fact that as you guys might probably know, women-led companies are currently receiving less
than 3 percent of total venture capital, and you might wonder why that's the case.

Well what you may not know is that fewer than 10 percent of investment professionals at the top 100 BC
Firms are women; that's about 100 of us out of over 1,000 professionals. And to make matters even more interesting, you might not know that African Americans receive less than 1 percent of venture capital dollars and represent fewer than seven investment professionals at the top 100 BC firms.

So you know, there's clearly an issue here with lack of access to capital, and because access to capital is such a crucial component of business success, so many female and minority founders are really being denied access to this very valuable tool.

At Reign Ventures, we're working to educate female and minority founders, and really any founder who's interested on how to effectively raise capital and use it efficiently to build great companies.

So although venture capital is not the right fit for every type of business, it can be crucial to success for many founders. For example, if your business can achieve scale, it has relatively low marginal costs and has a clear path to exit through a sale or IPO, venture capital can be an essential tool
about how we can support women and minority-owned businesses. And one of them is to actually fund more women and minority general partners at these funds.

So we need change. The limited partner landscape, foundations, the institutional investors, the family offices that are looking to seek funding into these funds. So that's one thing that I've seen that has changed and that the discussions have, you know, started up again, but there's definitely more to do here.

I think providing capital also at the earliest stages. Not everyone is a right fit for venture capital, but if you fit the criteria that Erica had mentioned, you know, a lot of start-ups have what we call friends and family rounds where they can get their network, friends and family that can write them a $10-\mathrm{K}$ to $100-\mathrm{K}$ check. Many in the women, particularly minority founders, doesn't have access to that.

So I know with my funds, I've gone super early to go in at -- as the first institutional check. But we also need more Angel investors to come in. And maybe that's, you know, changing the definition of what an accredited investor is.

Right now, there's one definition; but you know, having more ability to have more accredited
for growth and wealth creation.
When we educate more women on becoming entrepreneurs and investors, we really increase the landscape for opportunity. I actually started a free You Tube channel that offers investor training to both accredited investors and entrepreneurs that are looking to raise capital. I think that the more women who become investors, the more female founders are going to get funded.

So I hope everybody takes the opportunity today and in the future to educate themselves and to become active participants in the early-stage capital markets.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Yeah. And just to, you know, highlight what Erica was saying, you know, women do have less than, you know, 10 percent of the general partners' spots at venture funds, which are the check writing, you know, positions.

But particularly, people of color and women of color actually have less than 1 percent of all that, and that's reflected in who gets funded. There is a direct correlation with who's actually writing these checks into these companies, and who is fundraising, right?

And so we're changing that discussion and there's a couple things that, you know, how I think
investors, more Angels, potentially more crowd-funding platforms where these individuals can actually invest. I've seen some early success for early-stage companies to get the initial capital to get the business going.

And then also, two other things are just alternative forms of capital, which we talked about crowd-funding, we talked about venture capital; but maybe grants, maybe other forms of non-dilutive capital I've seen really work at the earliest stages.

And then the last thing to that would be getting more women and minorities on -- in investor roles. Even if it's not at the general partner level, coming in at the junior level, mentoring them and then giving them opportunities to bring in investments to the fund, but then also putting more women and minorities on these boards.

Many times these founders will look and seek for diverse capital, and at that board level, it's really important to have different perspectives that can bring in their network and help you hire more women and minorities onto your companies.

So those are a few things that I've seen work. Obviously, we're still in the earliest stages, but I think that those are some ways that we can get more women and minority-funded businesses.

MS. MINNIHAN: Yeah, definitely. And you know, one of the things, I think, is really exciting, is that, you know, more women are just opening themselves up to the idea of actually going out and raising capital because they're seeing other female founders get funded. And I think that's something that was very much lacking for a while. There weren't these great examples of women starting billion-dollar companies, women raising, you know, tens to hundreds of millions of dollars in venture capital. And I think that, you know, as we see more women get into the market, it takes usually five to ten years for a company to mature.

So you know, it's something that takes a while for us to get used to. You're also going to see more investors just, in general, become more comfortable at the idea of women leading billion-dollar businesses and making that investment.

And I think that, you know, as women and minorities, we really appreciate the impact of female founders getting funded, of minority founders getting funded because they're building the product services and businesses that are actually serving our needs, right, if we think about, you know, in the consumer space, you know, in a lot of different areas.

Even in technology, you have a lot of
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companies that were creating products for women or for minorities that were completely founded by white men. And when I first got into early stage venture capital, you know, it used to drive me crazy. I'd see, you know, a start-up that was creating, you know, a new breast pump idea and the entire founding team would be men.

And I would just ask myself, you know, "Why is this?" right, and these men would kind of come at me with a story of like, "Oh, well my wife breastfed once, so hence, I should be the person starting this business."

So I think that, you know, for us to really see ourselves as leaders, see ourselves as innovators, feel comfortable being in roles where we're leading technology teams, we're building businesses, we're talking on the responsibility to venture capital, I think it's really crucial to how our future is going to change and, you know, become one that's a little bit more equitable for everybody.

You know, and as Samara mentioned, I know that for a lot of the companies -- so at Reign, obviously, my partner and I are both African American women, but we've, of course, invested in plenty of teams that are led, you know, even by all-white men.

And the amount of value that they actually get
out of having us as investors and on the board is indescribable because they're sort of seeing these, you know, very diverse perspectives that they may not have considered from the very initial formation of the business and it's creating value in mitigating execution risk as the company grows.

And we know that right now, it's so obvious in society that, you know, company culture and the way that it's established from the beginning, the way people are treated, the way female employees are treated, the way minority employees are treated, and just sort of the awareness of building that in from the very beginning and how that can actually minimize execution risk, make a business more valuable. I know that our portfolio companies are very, very much aware of that.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Yeah. And I've seen that also in the companies that I've invested in as well. So I don't only invest in women and minorities. I have also invested in all-male teams, all-white male teams. But I've been able to come in and help them with their first hire. The woman hire; help this early employee get the pay that she deserved given her work and given the consummate space.

So I do believe that it shouldn't be separate pools of capital. Women shouldn't be only fundraising
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from women, right, but the more we can open up these teams to these networks, and the more women and minorities that can get on these cap tables, I think that will really, obviously, make a difference.

So I don't know if we have a few questions in the chat room or from Twitter.

MS. MILLER: Yeah, I know that -- Julie, are you there?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I thought Julie was going to handle the moderator questions.

MS. MILLER: No, we're all -- we're passing batons in lots of different ways right now. So I know one question, we've had a lot of chats coming in about accredited investor. I'm curious, from your perspective, how often do you have to control investors that are not eligible to invest in your funds or who reach out to you and they don't satisfy the net worth or the income threshold?

MS. MINNIHAN: You know, I would say, you know, as somebody who's actually -- you know, just from the perspective of my firm 1000 Angels, which, you know, helps accredited investors make direct investment, you know, certainly there are plenty of people who come and are interested in educating themselves and learning, you know, so that they're prepared once they potentially do

1 meet the threshold. portfolio might go to zero. portfolio. a toe into these waters.

You know, I know that there is a lot of, you
know, a lot going on around sort of relaxing the restrictions, et cetera. But at the end of the day, you know, our investors who are accredited have to realize that, you know, this is basically a zero liquidity asset and the, you know, duration that you're holding this asset is at least five to ten years with a high probability of, you know, some investments in the

So, you know, we do certainly get people who are interested, but I think the income and asset test fall really, really important to protect people, you know, who may not have the financial wherewithal from, you know, adding what can be a very risky asset to a

So while, you know, I do think that where I would really focus on is the fact that there are actually many people who do meet the accredited investor standard who have not even considered, you know, dipping

So, you know, I'd rather see more people pulling, you know, pulling out from the population of folks who actually have met the accredited investor income and asset test. Getting involved as early stage
able to invest in these founders and in these funds.
MS. MINNIHAN: And you know, I love that Samara brought this up because a lot of things that I'll always mention is, you know, as a woman and -- I think you said you went to Chicago -- business school at -- in Chicago?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Northwestern, yeah.
MS. MINNIHAN: Northwestern, okay. So I also went to Columbia Business School and, you know, I look at my graduating class and I see so many men who started companies out of business school who will -- or have started venture funds, and all sort of pass around the hat to all their classmates.

And these guys are writing checks to each other, you know, whereas the women, this just doesn't seem to happen for us. If, you know, if I -- I find that sometimes if I'm approaching, you know, another woman or a community of women about potentially investing in our fund or investing in a female-led start-up, they're very focused on the risk, you know, they're very focused on, you know, sort of, "Oh, you know, well I don't understand it, so I can't invest." But if you ask them to give $\$ 25,000$ for philanthropy, you know, they'll happily do it.

So you know, I think really just changing from
investors, you know, rather than encouraging people who might not be able to withstand a loss to put capital at risk; but of course, to educate themselves, you know, before they even are maybe at that level to understand why this asset class is important and how it might be right for them in the future.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Totally agree. I think we can start writing these checks. I'm an advisor here for an Angel group called Angeles. They invest in Latino founders. And I've seen a lot that needs to be -happen around the education piece, right, to Erica's point. And you know, when I worked at Goldman Sachs I would educate people on this slice of alternative asset classes and within this sort of asset class, as well as, slice of PE and DC, which is a very small slice, which I've seen some of the people on the chat write about.

But the more we can get women and minorities writing these checks, and even if you may, you know, call it over 100,000 and writing a $10-\mathrm{K}$ check for a year, I mean, that's something to get you knowledgeable on the space. You don't have to do it by yourself, you can work with Angel groups. You can invest like, through a fund if you have the means to.

So I do believe that the more we can expose this committee to the asset class, the more we'll be
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the onset about, you know, we don't -- everything doesn't have to be for charity. We should invest in ourselves. We have to feel more comfortable supporting each other with capital, you know, taking a chance on each other, taking risks on each other, and also, educating ourselves so that we can support those around us, whether we're doing it in the role of entrepreneur, we're doing it in the role of investor.

I hope that, you know, every person and woman and person of color that's listened to this day will take the opportunity to educate themselves, be able to participate in this part of the capital ecosystem, but it does require a bit of self-education, you know, a bit of sort of stepping out of our comfort zone to be able to do it.

So, you know, anyone who's interested, feel free to reach out to me. I love helping people, I love the idea of getting more folks involved, more knowledgeable, and particularly, for women to start supporting each other the way that the guys are pretty much already doing on a very large scale.

MS. MILLER: Well I think that's a fantastic note to give me an opportunity to plug investor.gov, which has a lot of resources from the SEC on how to educate yourself about becoming an investor, both on the

1 risks and the opportunities there because it's
2 absolutely critical before you make these decisions and
you jump in that you understand the importance of
diversification and how does that -- the decisions that you're making.

I think that right now, we have actually got
Chairman Clayton who wanted to jump in and share some additional remarks and join us, Erica and Samara, if you'll stay on the line while we bring him into the chat.

But fantastic feedback today. Thank you both so much for being willing to share your time today. And as a reminder, please do keep sending us ideas for policy recommendations in the WebEx chat or by emailing smallbusiness@sec.gov.

And Nick, as soon as we've got Chairman
Clayton on, we will be good to go.
I think I see that he is here.
CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Martha, am I -- hi.
MS. MILLER: I can hear you. Hello.
CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Well wonderful. Thanks for bringing me in.

And thanks to Erica and Samara. I've been
listening for about, oh, I don't know, the past 10 or 15
minutes, and just a really impressive -- and helpful for
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me, and I think, probably for my colleagues here at the Commission -- discussion.

In particular, the emphasis on an educated investor, and people being comfortable investing in this space and why it's an important asset class. That's what we want. We're not -- to be clear, we're not looking to open the private investment space to people who don't understand what they're getting.

We want to open the space to people who understand what they're getting into: the risks, the holding periods, the illiquidity. But also, the potential benefits as part of an overall investment strategy; not as your only investment strategy, but as overall.

And also, knowing your management teams, and knowing the types of people you are investing alongside. Very important.

And then, last thing I'll say is boy, I hope there's some day when gender is irrelevant in this type of capital raising. Gender is very important building a diverse management team and whatnot, but the business idea should be gender-neutral in my view.

But just thanks. And let me ask Erica or Samara or you, Martha, if you have any questions for me while I've got the opportunity to join you.

MS. MILLER: I appreciate it, Jay. I think
that for me, I just appreciate you being here today. I don't have any fun questions for you. You know that I know how to find you when I do have some, so I'm hoping --

CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Yes, you do.
MS. MILLER: -- that could be really wild and crazy ones besides I see that you have not gotten a haircut in a little bit during quarantine like many of us; and so, I appreciate you being willing to turn on the video and join us today.

CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: (Laughing) A few more days and there will be no more video with this hair.

MS. MILLER: (Laughing.) Well wonderful. Well this is a wonderful opportunity for us to pivot over. And I really --

MS. MINNIHAN: I was just going to say I do have a quick question if that's allowed. Sorry. Did I miss my chance to ask the question?

CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: I'm here. Go ahead.
MS. MINNIHAN: Okay, great. Thank you so much, Mr. Clayton. I really, really appreciate you being here and this opportunity and the feedback. And I would love to know if the government or the SEC or, you know, you -- this specific organization has any
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initiatives to address what Samara and I had talked about, which was helping more minority and women run investment firms that are investing in early-stage companies get off the ground through LP support and creation of GPs?

CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Well the answer is we don't have capital ourselves. A lot of days I wish we did to help. But yes, Martha and her team -- and it really goes to the networking that you identified by getting -whether it's a business school or in some other venue -getting people together, educating them about the benefits of this space, making them comfortable but not making it so they're taking too much risk.

And the answer is yes, and we want to do more. I mean, Martha and her team are incredibly dedicated to this space.

Martha, maybe I'll just -- I know I'm taking into your time but flip over to you, and you can go over some of the many resources that you've developed.

MS. MILLER: Yeah.
So we've been developing resources, but what's most important is that any resources that we have match the market need. And so we've spent a lot of time learning from how everyone from incubators to accelerators to law firm clinics, you name it, are

1 educating companies on how we can fill gaps as
regulators and make sure that people have -- when you have a full toolkit, you know how each tool in the kit works, and you know what it's used for and feel very comfortable with those.

And so that's been a big focus of our office. If anybody has any ideas, I encourage you to reach out to us. I think we've put our contact info up a few times, but email us at smallbusiness@sec.gov, and we want to continue making sure that the resources that we do have available here at the Commission meet you where you need them.

CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: And I'm going to say just one more thing, which is, Martha's office has been great. This discussion has been great. We know what we need. Investors who can handle the risk, who know what they're getting into and do it smartly; but our rules are too complex in identifying and filtering those investors, and to the extent we can get help with that, we're going to eliminate some of the friction of some people getting into this space.

So thank you all very much.
MS. MILLER: Wonderful. Thank you very much, again, Samara, Erica and Chairman Clayton; really appreciate your remarks today.

KEYNOTE: INVESTING IN THE UNDERESTIMATED
I am excited to share with you our first
keynote featuring Arlan Hamilton. Arlan actually recorded this earlier this week. She is founder and managing partner of Backstage Capital.

So Nick, take it away with that video keynote.
(A video plays from timestamp 44:54 through timestamp 53:15 of Ms. Miller interviewing Ms. Hamilton.)

MS. MILLER: All right. What a wonderful keynote from Arlan, who I think the world of.

SPOTLIGHT: RURAL AND THRIVING
MS. MILLER: It is now our pleasure to switch gears and to kick-off our second spotlight discussion titled, "Rural and Thriving: Overcoming the Unique Challenges of Building Companies in Rural Communities."

Today we'll feature Wil Jenkins, who's an investor and entrepreneur from Pine Bluff, Arkansas; Christy Laxton, the Executive Director of the Wyoming County Economic Development Authority in West Virginia; and Nathan Ohle, who is the CEO of the Rural community Assistance Partnership.

Welcome to each of you, and thank you, Wil, Christy and Nathan for joining us today.

MR. OHLE: Thank you so much, Martha. We
really appreciate the opportunity to be with you today and are excited to be able to present on rural thriving and talk about some of the unique -- both strategies and opportunities that we see in the rural environment, and obviously, also open it up for questions for folks who might have some ideas or thoughts to share.

I'm Nathan Ohle, I run the Rural Community Assistance Partnership, which is a national network of non-profit partners focused on building capacity at the local level. For us that means really intense work in small rural and tribal communities across the country.

One of the things that we wanted to start out this discussion in is -- and really dive in with Christy and Wil -- is to talk about the disparity that we've seen in rural communities, and the lack of access to capital in many rural communities across the country; but also, highlight some really unique ideas and ways that rural communities are innovating and ensuring that they get access to that rural in really unique ways.

One of the things we've seen, obviously over the last decade, is the decrease in a number of bank branches across the country. Just last year, the Federal Reserve announced that rural communities were hit in a much higher rates than urban communities in those bank closures.
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In fact, over the 17 hundred bank branches that closed in the last year, 14 percent of those in rural closed compared to the 9 percent that urban communities saw in that same time.

And so we know often times businesses, their first mode of opportunity to go after capital is to talk to a bank, but when there's not a bank branch in your community or even in any communities in your surrounding area, it can often be very difficult, and so we're constantly looking for new and innovative ways to drive capital opportunities.

And thrilled to have Christy, Wil here with us today to talk about some of the things that they've been doing. And so, at first I want to hand it off to Christy who's doing some tremendous work in West Virginia.

And Christy, please introduce yourself and then talk a little bit about some of the work that you guys are doing in West Virginia.

MS. LAXTON: Yeah. Thank you, Nathan.
And good afternoon everyone. I'm excited to be here. I'm with the Wyoming County Economic Development authority here in Southern West Virginia in Pineville. And we are kind of a non-traditional economic development authority.

Virginia, and we have done $\$ 9.3$ million now, across the
Most people think of economic development authorities as people that build big plants and factories and we're in rural West Virginia, so we don't really get to do that as 87 percent of our land is owned by out-of-state landholding companies that deal with timber and coal and things like that.

So we decided many years ago to focus on small business and entrepreneurship. And so in 2001 we had a devastating flood that hit our county and we were able to get some funding come down from the State in West Virginia through our small business development center, and we were able to start a small business loan fund.

And through that small business loan fund, we got about a little over $\$ 2$ million, and then we were able to then get some additional loan funds through USDA, through their intermediary relending program which we actually had to pay back to them over the next few years from 2001 through 2007. And we were able to do about $\$ 3.2$ million over that amount of time to small businesses in very small loans.

Those were about $\$ 15,000$ loans to small businesses here in the southern region of West Virginia in a seven-county region. And we were able to expand that to now a statewide program across the State of West Virginia, and we have done $\$ 9.3$ million now, across the
application in to Appalachian Regional Commission to do loans with that very similar -- to do that across the State of West Virginia again, currently.

So we just take a very different approach to what banks do. We're very different from CDFIs as well. We partner with the banks, we partner with CDFIs, but we definitely take a very different approach and we all collaborate together to make sure that we kind of fill the gaps that others cannot fill.

So that's kind of where we come from and what we do.

MR. OHLE: Thank you so much. It's great to hear about the programs that you're doing, and I want to follow-up with a couple of questions in just a second, but want to introduce our other speaker, Wil Jenkins.

Wil is located in Pine Bluff, Arkansas. He can tell you the story much better than I can, but he is both a serial entrepreneur, but also, an investor himself. And so then -- not only all across the United States but across the world and brings those vast experiences to his own community -- and has really found a home in Pine Bluff, and is really starting to help revitalize some of the community and have some great stories and ideas about how others can do the same in rural communities.
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State of West Virginia. It was a truly revolving loan program from 2007 until this past year. We had not received any new funding. So it was very successful.

Since the flood, to 2007 and now, we had looked at small businesses on a very different level from any type of bank loan -- community bank loan. We look at the business loans as how is that application going to affect the community?

So we don't look at their normal ROI or their debt to equity, or just the traditional credit score. We look at the package as a whole to see how many jobs is it going to bring to the community, how is that business going to affect the community, is that business native in the community and things like that.

So we definitely look at it in a nontraditional aspect. So we take a risk. We've done loans for people that have no credit score, we've done loans for people that get -- have the best credit score. But it was business that was needed in that community and it's gone on to be extremely successful. So we take a very non-traditional approach in things that we do because we have been extremely successful.

So from that point, taking the initiative that we did in 2001 with floods, and we're doing something very similar with the COVID-19 pandemic, and we have an
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So Wil, Ill hand it to you.
MR. JENKINS: Me?
MR. OHLE: Yeah.
MR. JENKINS: Good afternoon, everyone.
My name is Wil Jenkins. I moved to Pine Bluff about five years ago. Pine Bluff is centrally located in Southeast Arkansas, and at one point, back in the day, it was the mecca. There's about 15 surrounding towns, cities, and all these people used to just come to Pine Bluff. We've had a decline in population due to industry moving out. My wife and I just figured it was a great opportunity to take part in the revitalization of the Pine Bluff area.

So we own several buildings here. Im an entrepreneur, developer, investor and the time is ripe. I mean, we've got a $\$ 350$ million casino project that's taking place and we also have the downtown with the new streetscape, the revitalization of that as well. And it just, it makes it ripe for a huge comeback, and I'm really looking forward to it.

MR. OHLE: So Wil, so when you think about the revitalization of Pine Bluff, how do you see your role and how do you see the role of other potential investors in helping the community as they start to put that strategy in place?


MR. JENKINS: Well no risk, no reward. And unfortunately, a lot of people are afraid to do -invest their money at the present time because it's still under revitalization. I mean, we're probably, maybe two years out from being done.

But again, no risk, no reward. And I'm not that kind of person. I mean, I'm a visionary. I see what's going to take place here. I mean, this is one of the largest, longest main streets in Arkansas, period. And with the casino, all these people are going to start coming back to Pine Bluff, so what we need to do is give them businesses to shop at, businesses to come to, retail outlets, restaurants, bars.

We need to revive the life that used to be here in Pine Bluff. And you know, again, no risk, no reward. And I mean, back in the early '90s I owned a telecommunications company and I was able to sell that for about 25 million, so it's about taking the risk and to me, Pine Bluff is not a risk.

So please, come invest your money in Pine Bluff, Arkansas.

MS. DAVIS: This is a great opportunity for a question, I think. This is Julie Davis from the Office of the Small Business Advocate. Thank you so much, Wil, Nathan and Christy.
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 said, "Many businesses will never be a good fit for VC or even Angel capital, and yet, could be perfectly viable, steady growth concerns for a long-term. We need more and better access for these main street entrepreneurs."So I'm wondering if you could speak a little bit about this observation; it's certainly one that our office hears from many people.

MR. OHLE: Well one thing I would say, and then I'm going to let Christy and Wil step -- type in if they have thoughts on this as well.

But you know, one of the really important aspects when you think about rural is that, you know, when you go to one rural community, you've really been to one rural community. Everyone is different, there's a different situation on the ground, and ensuring the understanding as we'll talk about no risk, no reward, you have to understand what that risk portfolio is and what the opportunities might be.

But you also have to be willing to be innovative in the way that you think about business, the way that you think about opportunities to invest. Part of it is understanding the little culture and the people in that community and as Christy talked about, you know,
better -- making grants or loans in some cases where they don't have a credit history to go back on.

And so you've got to think a little bit more innovatively. And what we know firsthand is that rural communities, at their core, are innovative. They have to be because in many cases, there's less resources, less people, less market hours you may say.

So, you know, you've got to be willing to embrace that culture. You've got to be willing to understand the culture and the community, but you've also got to be willing to look at different investment models that fit the opportunities in those communities.

Christy, did you have anything to add from your perspective?

MS. LAXTON: Yes. So I mean, I think you said that great and I don't -- I'm not sure that I can add much more to that. But you know, we have definitely taken a different approach and we were able to do that because the monies that were revolved to our funds were grant fundings, of course. So we were able to do that and take a different approach in that aspect.

Some of the monies that we had were loan monies, so that made it a little more, you know, complicated in that aspect. But we were still able to take the mission -- you know, our mission was to make
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sure that we wanted to look out for these communities and the economic benefits of the community.

And we wanted to make sure that we could fill the gaps of, you know, what the local community bank could not do or what the CDFI couldn't do or, you know, was there something missing that the -- you know, those Angel investors couldn't fit in.

And so, we were -- that's what we wanted to fill, were those gaps. And so to fill those gaps, we had to take those risks, and we had to be the one to come in on the back-end with the $\$ 15,000$ loan, and the $\$ 50,000$ loan, and on average, our loans run about $\$ 35,000$. And there are so many, you know, people, you know, even on the banking industry that they just really don't want to fool with the paperwork even to deal with the bank -- a size, you know, a loan that size.

And so that's really where we feel like we kind of feel -- fit in that gap. And so -- and we've been told by so many small businesses across the state that, you know, just that little bit is enough to at least get them started on their small business and they can't fund that amount of money, you know, to even get started.

And so many people look for -- you know, they need the half-million or the million-dollar loans and
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| 1 | things like that, and we can't, you know, we can't do | 1 | keynote, which is highlighting perspectives from |
| 2 | those type of loans. We do the much smaller loans. But | 2 | Administrator Jovita Carranza, the head of the U.S. |
| 3 | they need that small amount to at least get started and | 3 | Small Business Administration; someone who needs very |
| 4 | get off the ground. So we feel like we just kind of | 4 | little introduction. So we'll queue that video keynote |
| 5 | fill that gap. | 5 | up now. Thank you. |
| 6 | And so I think that you said it well in the | 6 | (A video of Ms. Carranza's address plays from |
| 7 | fact that you just have to be innovative in what you -- | 7 | timestamp 07:58 through timestamp 12:00.) |
| 8 | what you're looking at and how you can invest and take | 8 | SPOTLIGHT: STORIES FROM FOUNDERS OF COLOR |
| 9 | that extra risk. | 9 | MS. MILLER: It is now our pleasure to kick- |
| 10 | MR. OHLE: And Wil, and in your perspective, | 10 | off our third spotlight discussion titled, "Stories From |
| 11 | you've been in, you know, multiple markets across the | 11 | Founders of Color: Building Minority-Owned Companies |
| 12 | country, and obviously, Pine Bluff -- | 12 | that are Raising Capital" featuring two incredible |
| 13 | MR. JENKINS: Yes. | 13 | entrepreneurs: Davyeon Ross, Co-Founder and President |
| 14 | MR. OHLE: -- has its own unique market unto | 14 | of ShotTracker; and Sevetri Wilson, Founder and CEO of |
| 15 | itself. But how do you think about that and think | 15 | Resilia. |
| 16 | about, you know, your own investment strategy as you're | 16 | The floor is yours. Davyeon, Sevetri, thank |
| 17 | considering opportunities? | 17 | you for joining us today. |
| 18 | MR. JENKINS: Well Pine Bluff is now becoming | 18 | MS. WILSON: Thank you for having us. |
| 19 | an opportunity zone. We have a lot of historical | 19 | And so, Davyeon, I know we have a short period |
| 20 | buildings here which enable you to take advantage of the | 20 | of time, so I would love to kind of just start with just |
| 21 | historical tax credits. | 21 | a brief intro and jump right into it. Does that sound |
| 22 | I recently acquired a theater here that was | 22 | good for you? |
| 23 | built in 1892. And I have a lot of people across the | 23 | MR. ROSS: That sounds awesome. |
| 24 | people across the country that are watching me. Once I | 24 | MS. WILSON: Yes. Go ahead, go ahead. |
| 25 | purchased that building, my phone just started blowing | 25 | MR. ROSS: Oh, you want me to start? Okay, |
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| 1 | up with investors wanting to come take a look at the | 1 | yeah. |
| 2 | Pine Bluff area. | 2 | So it's really a privilege to be here. My |
| 3 | So I'm really looking forward to the future | 3 | name is Davyeon Ross, I'm one of the founders of |
| 4 | and what it holds for Pine Bluff. You know, with it | 4 | ShotTracker. We're based out of Overland Park and we're |
| 5 | being a rural town that's going to come back, and it's | 5 | here to talk about fundraising. |
| 6 | going to take the mom and pops to bring it back, not the | 6 | So we are a sensor-based system that tracks |
| 7 | big box stores. So I'm really looking forward to it. | 7 | statistics and analytics, starting with basketball for |
| 8 | MS. MILLER: Well Wil, I think that's a | 8 | sports. And we've had the privilege of raising a little |
| 9 | fantastic note for us to wrap on. I think we can keep | 9 | over 30 million and you know, just like most start-ups, |
| 10 | the conversation going with mom and pops. I've been to | 10 | it's been a emotional roller coaster, but it's been a |
| 11 | Maryanne's Coffee Shop -- | 11 | fun ride. |
| 12 | MR. JENKINS: Oh, you have? | 12 | So what about you? In regards to your |
| 13 | MS. MILLER: -- on Main Street, right in the | 13 | fundraising? |
| 14 | middle of Pine Bluff -- | 14 | MS. WILSON: Yeah. |
| 15 | MR. JENKINS: Oh. | 15 | So Sevetri Wilson, Founder and CEO of Resilia. |
| 16 | MS. MILLER: -- and so I know exactly what you | 16 | And as we say, we helped organizations increase capacity |
| 17 | mean, and one rural community does not look like every | 17 | with our software and enterprises -- so think cities, |
| 18 | single other one. | 18 | private foundations, corporations, public charities -- |
| 19 | MR. JENKINS: That's right. | 19 | scale their impact. And to date, we've raised about |
| 20 | MS. MILLER: And that comes from an Alabama | 20 | 10.5 million. |
| 21 | girl. | 21 | So you know, we're checking around, just |
| 22 | So thank you Nate, Christy and Wil for joining | 22 | announced our Series A raise in mid-May, closed in mid- |
| 23 | us today and for sharing your perspectives. | 23 | March, so really excited about that. |
| 24 | KEYNOTE: SMALL BUSINESS MACRO PERSPECTIVES | 24 | MR. ROSS: That's awesome. That's great |
| 25 | MS. MILLER: We're going to move into our next | 25 | stuff. |
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MS. WILSON: And so I'll jump right in with a question that we can definitely continue the dialogue around this question. And you talked about it. You know, we're here to talk about raising capital and financing and how we build our companies.

But the question I want to pose for you is how have you crafted your financing strategy and leveraged barriers -- just areas of capital resources to build your business? So we'd love to know a little bit about that. I know that you bootstrapped your first company without, and then the second one, you raised capital.

So walk us through it a little bit.
MR. ROSS: Yeah. So I mean, our company is a little bit different as we discussed before, right? So we -- it's fairly complicated. It's, you know, it's a hardware-based company with software, so we've got devices that sit on a player's devices in the basketball. And for those of you that don't know, when you actually have a hardware company, there's a lot of capital that goes into, you know, the R\&D process. So it's fairly capital-intensive.

So for us, we had to -- I mean, we started off raising, you know -- my co-founder and I put the first money in.

MS. WILSON: Mm-hmm.

MR. ROSS: First, go through that process. But then quickly we realized like -- so for instance, there was one project that we built where we had to put a sensor in the basketball that it took a ton of R\&D to get through that process. So we needed the capital.

So we started off funding it ourselves, and then we started to look at, like, partners who would understand the vision, understand what we're trying to do and accomplish from a different perspective.

And, you know, we had a couple multi-family offices that stepped up, a few VCs, a lot of Angels. So I mean, it sometimes is a little tough on the cap table, but we had to do what we had to do to bring in the capital.

The other thing that we also tried not to do, was try to figure our strategically, who could actually utilize our data and try to work with them to be able to help them fund it via either some partnership or sort of a revenue channel that allowed us to really scale. And you know, that's been our strategy thus far.

So what about you? I mean, I know that you've -- you have a little bit of a different strategy being like, software-related and --

MS. WILSON: Yes. So my first company truly bootstrapped. We didn't take outside capital, but the
success of that company allowed me to also invest the first capital that went into my tech company years later.

And so from there, we began to build out our MVP, we began to build some traction, and then felt that we were in a good position to go out now and raise capital. And that's what we did. Definitely going through the pre-seed, seed rounds, Series A, starting off being able to raise capital from the network that I had built.

And so that's going to actually segue me into another question for you, and that's around network. And so when I tell people that I raised my first preseed, which was like, just $\$ 400,000$ and just could be different things to a lot of people on this call. As I told them, I used my network that I had built primarily through my business circles.

We generally call this like a friends and family, but let me tell you, it was not my friends and family who I raised the capital from. And when people say, "Oh, you know," they immediately, particularly for founders of color or minority founders, they're like, "Oh, well you had the network. You knew people."

And I immediately tell them, "Well that might be the case as I built my network, right, I didn't come
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from money. I didn't come from a last name where everyone knew. First generation college student, so yes, I definitely built my network."

So I would love to talk a little bit more
about like, how do you build a network, and you know, how you begin to create these relationships.

MR. ROSS: Yeah. You know, that's an amazing point. Like, you know, I think -- and you -- we see this with a ton of founders of color, right, like, you know, don't -- they don't necessarily have the resources like, within their family that they can just go and say, "Hey, I need a hundy to start the company." It just doesn't work like that, right?

MS. WILSON: No.
MR. ROSS: So the networks are really important and I think that -- I know for us, I've got like, some specific examples.

So after I sold my first company, just in the Kansas City Region, you start mentoring. And I was fortunate to be able to be a mentor at Techstars. And being a mentor at Techstars, it opened me up to a whole world of people, right, because Techstars has got this national global network; and you're a mentor, so you're seeing tons of companies, you're interacting with a ton of people.

|  | Page 58 |  | Page 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | And you know, that conversation allowed me to | 1 | founders about doing that and how they can strategize |
| 2 | talk to the Techstars venture folks who decided, "Hey, | 2 | around building a company, as well as, accessing |
| 3 | this is not a fit. We don't necessarily get sports," | 3 | capital? |
| 4 | but they hooked me up with a VC firm which ultimately | 4 | MR. ROSS: Yeah. So I think like -- I think |
| 5 | had an intern by the name of David Stern. | 5 | you're a perfect -- you know, I think we're both the |
| 6 | MS. WILSON: Mm-hmm. | 6 | recipe, right, but what you just said is so important. |
| 7 | MR. ROSS: He's actually -- it was his post- | 7 | If you could actually own and be able to build, you |
| 8 | commission career and he was an intern at this VC firm. | 8 | know, locally, where people know you, where you know |
| 9 | I mean, he actually had cards that said, "Intern." And | 9 | your name. |
| 10 | you know, we struck a relationship which, you know, just | 10 | MS. WILSON: Mm-hmm. |
| 11 | ended up making it work for us and allowing us to raise | 11 | MR. ROSS: You know, you have to branch out |
| 12 | some funding with him being involved, et cetera. | 12 | and start -- whether it's conferences or other places |
| 13 | So you know, I think it was -- it started off | 13 | where you meet people reaching out, I think that, you |
| 14 | -- when you're starting to build your network, I think | 14 | know, geography -- there's advantages and disadvantages |
| 15 | there's something about giving back the little that you | 15 | to geography, right? |
| 16 | have -- | 16 | MS. WILSON: Yes. |
| 17 | MS. WILSON: Absolutely. | 17 | MR. ROSS: I mean, so the coast, there's a |
| 18 | MR. ROSS: -- to interact with people which | 18 | wealth of, you know, capital available: VC firms, et |
| 19 | helps to build that network even more. So I mean -- and | 19 | cetera. But I also think that one of the benefits for |
| 20 | I think what I've seen historically is that some | 20 | us is that, you know, we were able to get -- I mean, we |
| 21 | founders have, you know, either they've done it before | 21 | were that shiny object, right, so we were able to get |
| 22 | so they can go and say, "Hey, I've done it before" and | 22 | the right resources from a talent pool to funding and, |
| 23 | they get nothing to build the $\$ 30$ million valuation. | 23 | you know, look, we're still working through some of |
| 24 | It's in our situation, right? | 24 | these things. |
| 25 | MS. WILSON: Yeah. | 25 | MS. WILSON: Yes. |
|  | Page 59 |  | Page 61 |
| 1 | MR. ROSS: I mean, you know, I'm sure you have | 1 | MR. ROSS: You know, I would tell people, you |
| 2 | some stories about your network that led to where you | 2 | know, start at home if you can. I think it's important |
| 3 | are. You know, I'd love to hear about them. | 3 | to get out and get money. I think that's one of the |
| 4 | MS. WILSON: Yes. And this kind of ties into | 4 | benefits of COVID. COVID, which, yeah, I think it's |
| 5 | this question that always comes up about geography, | 5 | probably the only one; but what COVID has done, is COVID |
| 6 | right, and like, where you are and how that impacts your | 6 | has trained us that like, geography doesn't necessarily |
| 7 | funding journey. | 7 | matter. We have the tools, there are things -- I mean, |
| 8 | And, you know, we -- although we have a office | 8 | think about now. Instead of going to all these |
| 9 | now in New York, you know, I'm from Louisiana, you know, | 9 | meetings, we're all doing Zoom meetings, WebEx meetings, |
| 10 | I built my company and we started based in New Orleans. | 10 | et cetera. |
| 11 | And so the tech ecosystem there is very small. And so | 11 | So I think that's what COVID has taught us, |
| 12 | because of that, there's not a lot of capital. | 12 | that we can be wherever we are and still execute and |
| 13 | But my first actually did come out of my | 13 | still build relationships. But it's good to start at |
| 14 | community from Angels who were coming together, business | 14 | home, but you've got to branch out and start building |
| 15 | people, high-wealth individuals who believe how we | 15 | those relationships and those social platforms to get to |
| 16 | should create this ecosystem. But then I did have to | 16 | individuals in your space that could be helpful to help |
| 17 | like, go out and find capital elsewhere. And people | 17 | you, you know, reach your end goal. |
| 18 | were telling me, like, "Oh, you should just move to San | 18 | MS. WILSON: Yeah. And you mentioned it, so |
| 19 | Francisco. You should just move to the Bay and build | 19 | let's talk about it a little bit; the impact of COVID, |
| 20 | your company, otherwise, you're probably not going to | 20 | right? |
| 21 | raise money. You're not going to be able to find or | 21 | MR. ROSS: Yeah. |
| 22 | access capital." | 22 | MS. WILSON: You talked about COVID and on top |
| 23 | And so what were you kind of -- tell -- | 23 | of that, we obviously are seeing protests, civil unrest, |
| 24 | because I know you were also building a company outside | 24 | the whole -- everything that can probably happen has -- |
| 25 | of Silicon Valley. And what will you tell other | 25 | is happening right now in 2020. |

MR. ROSS: Yeah.
MS. WILSON: And I think that in many ways, some founders were like, maybe gearing up to like, raise capital and now they're just like, "What should I do? How should I think about this?"

What is some advice that you could -potentially could give to other founders about like, wavering or going through the storms to look on the other side? And I know, it's kind of a hard question and could -- possibly because we're both going through it as we talk about it.

MR. ROSS: Yeah. This black guy right here, that's from COVID.

MS. WILSON: Yes.
MR. ROSS: No. Look, I think that -- yeah, I think that we're all going through it, right? As I said, there's a lot of advantages, you know -- I guess when I think about it, not only the marketization of geography is due to COVID, but I think like, you know, if we think about like, the social climate of what's going on, just the awareness about the injustice and things that are going on has been brought to the forefront, right?

MS. WILSON: Yes.
MR. ROSS: As you know, we're a sports
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business. So you talk about impacted --
MS. WILSON: Mm-hmm.
MR. ROSS: -- you know, it's -- it has really
changed the dynamic and you know, just to be vulnerable
and upfront. Like, we're trying to figure it out,
because you know, at one point in time we had a bunch of installs that had to -- got cancelled that was scheduled from March until May.

MS. WILSON: Yes.
MR. ROSS: We had to like, shut it down because like, no schools were open. And I don't think we're out of the gate right now because, you know, you have to think that, like, we don't have a vaccine.

So there's a lot of things that are going on right now in the sports world that we are trying to figure out and it is not easy; it is hard. But you know, like, look -- and I think, you know, I'd love to hear kind of how it's affected you also.

But I think that it's just important to note that, you know, entrepreneurship is like, this emotional roller coaster and you're going to get punched in the mouth and you've got to get back up. And you know, that's part of the process, that's part of the journey, part of the experience.

So I mean, tell me a little about some of the
things that you guys have had to navigate as it relates to just COVID and just this whole climate.

MS. WILSON: Yes.
MR. ROSS: Especially since you've been working in like, government parts. I mean, it's got to be impacting, right?

MS. WILSON: Absolutely. So you know, we essentially -- our enterprise product, which is kind of like our big baby as we call it, we essentially are providing software to manage, to pull data, to create transparency around reporting for those who are deploying capital, right, so anything like cities, private foundations, public charities.

And so interesting enough, we've seen almost a -- like a boom in business because of all the activity. We've -- it's unprecedented activity happening right now in philanthropy and funds being created and in money being deployed rapidly to organizations. Like Ford Foundation just announced a billion-dollar effort that's going to be backed by bonds, which is something that we had envisioned happening, and now COVID is actually making it happen faster, and it's making charitable organizations move faster when generally, they have moved very slow.

MR. ROSS: Yes.

MS. WILSON: And so we have benefitted from that, which is ironic because when we were fundraising, we were trying to prove that there was a space for us. And now it's like, you know, we're busier than ever.

And so I definitely think that, you know, as founders, you have to -- you just never know what's coming next. And particularly, from the fundraising side because of even some of the newly-created funds, whether that's from Google, there are opportunities, right, for founders and minority founders to access capital that may have not been here prior.

And so I definitely will like, push
individuals to look to those opportunities while they're out there.

MR. ROSS: Yeah. The time is now, right?
MS. WILSON: Yes. Yes.
MR. ROSS: If there will be ever a time --
MS. WILSON: There's a window open.
MR. ROSS: I think to go now, like, you know, you don't have to kick in the doors because doors are being opened. So as founders, you've got to be aggressive, you've got to go ask the tough questions, you're going to have the tough discussions and, you know, try to figure it out.

So --

MR. CALEB: Davyeon and Sevetri --
MS. WILSON: Yes. I was going to see if there were any questions.

MR. CALEB: Yeah. Sure, sure. This is -- I'm Colin --

MS. WILSON: Hi.
MR. CALEB: -- with the Small Business Advocate Office at the SEC. And so, you know, you guys both bootstrapped your first company and that kind of helped you to organically grow your network, organically deliberate and intentional about it.

So I'm an entrepreneur; how would I go about, a, building that network, like specifically, how did I -- how would I do that? I'm not -- I haven't grown my network organically through bootstrapping.

And then also, how do I find seeds that will lend first dollar checks?

MS. WILSON: I can start. And so, I tell founders when I was just starting my -- my first business, I would begin to like, just look at who is in the community. So who's in my community, right?

I think because of social media today, people want to go and like DM somebody with 5 million followers. They're probably not going to respond back to you. But I was thinking about like, who's the
thing that I would add are I think it's important to like, identify the different Angel groups, and attend some of these events, right? I think a lot of these Angel groups are looking for great ideas.

I think if there are situations where you can
-- if you have a certain expertise, you could try to find accelerator programs, different programs that -where you can actually provide. You know, there's something about going into a relationship where you're willing to give.

I just personally feel that you get so much more back when you go in there with like, "How can I serve?" "How can I help?" And you start interacting with entrepreneurs. I think it's come to the point where, you know, every city has like, some level of entrepreneurship group or some program that you can interact with.

And then, you know, on the -- especially seeing that none of us are traveling, you're somewhat at least limited to your geography right now. I'd say there's a lot of information out there about people who are, you know, building funds. And as I said before, the time is now, right, people are probably more open --

MS. WILSON: Mm-hmm.
MR. ROSS: -- to entrepreneurs and people of
millionaire next door, and next door being in your community.

And so going to like, Chamber events, going to networking events, looking at conferences and seeing who is speaking on those conferences. Now everybody's virtual, and so you might actually have a opportunity to reach out to people -- because they're at home and they have more time because they're not traveling -- to connect with them.

As well as, you know, when we were -- because we sell into government, I would reach out to political figures, right, and so your state rep or your city council person is literally in that position to serve you, right, or at least they're supposed to be.

And so scheduling a meeting with their aide, sitting down with them. And the thing about politicians is they know where all the money is, right, because they have to fundraise, and they have to run for elected office. And so they can tell you who are the business people, who are the community people, who has all the money, who writes the big checks.

And that was kind of like, my strategy early on, with creating my network. What about you?

MR. ROSS: Yeah. So I think, man, I echo, you know, all the things that you were saying. The only
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color to be able to fund and partner, et cetera.
So I think it's really important to go and identify -- you know, Google is your friend -- identify the folks that fit in your domain and fit in your space and reach out to them and have these conversations. I think attending stuff like this is great where you get these little nuggets and tidbits that you can really start executing on and building a plan.

MS. WILSON: Yeah. And I would love to like, just live for an idea of like accelerators, right, when you think about the first check that like, Colin talked about; in my time that is coming through Accelerator. And like, Google announced Accelerator yesterday, a virtual accelerator, in which they were -- they're going to put like, $\$ 100,000$ into those companies, and nondilutive.

MR. ROSS: Yeah.
MS. WILSON: Like, nobody was doing that just six months ago, I know. No accelerator was giving $\$ 100,000$ for no equity.

MR. ROSS: Yeah, yeah.
MS. WILSON: So yes, the window is open.
MR. ROSS: These are unprecedented times. The other thing that I think is important is also connecting with technical founders, right? So like, a lot of

people have great ideas, but they don't have the technical chops to be able to develop the prototype.

If you could actually partner up with some technical founders, it would allow you to get closer to the point where you have a prototype; and when you have something to show, it's a lot easier to be able to raise that capital. It's like the train is leaving, buy your ticket, let's keep it really moving. So --

MS. WILSON: Yes, absolutely. Absolutely. Any other questions?
MS. MILLER: I think that we -- unfortunately, I think we could ask questions all day, Sevetri and Davyeon.

MS. WILSON: That's great.
MS. MILLER: So when you -- but out of -we've run out, inevitably, against the clock, so -- to borrow a lovely sports pun from Davyeon's industry.

I appreciate both of you being here today, Sevetri and Davyeon, and sharing your perspective, particularly as you talk about navigating network challenges. That's something that we are particularly interested in and want to make sure that we understand. And your discussion about the founder's journey is actually the perfect segue, Davyeon, into -- are the cradle of our next keynote, "The Founder's Journey."
perfect segue as we move into our next session.
I am thrilled to be introducing you to two people who I think the world of as we talk about building tomorrow's public companies, exploring the past IPOs and future of public offerings, featuring Stephen Graham. He's a partner at Fenwick and West in Seattle, and Brian Levey, Chief Business Affairs and Legal
Officer at Upwork in California, both of whom were also members of our SEC Small Business Technical Formation Advisory Committee.

Thank you both so much for being here today and for sharing some of your perspectives on the path to becoming a public company.

MR. LEVEY: Thank you, Martha.
Stephen, are you there?
MS. MILLER: While he gets queued up, it can be the two of us, Brian; how does that sound?

MR. LEVEY: Very good. That sounds great. Well so far, very impressed with the spotlights, the keynotes. So thank you, Martha and the team, for organizing all of this.

MS. MILLER: Absolutely. Absolutely. I appreciate you making time and joining us from out on the West Coast.

MR. LEVEY: Yes, my pleasure. My pleasure.

KEYNOTE: THE FOUNDER'S JOURNEY
MS. MILLER: So next up, we have a keynote
address from Pete Flint, who currently is the managing
partner at NFX, and he is famous as the founder of the
real estate company Trulia, which he created and brought
public during the great recession in 2008.
So we'll queue up that keynote and launch into
Pete's remarks.
(Pause.)
All right. And it wouldn't be a virtual event
if we didn't have some lovely technical quirks, so we're switching over to make sure that we get Pete's video ready and queued up for everyone. So Jenny on our team should be ready to share that with you in just a minute.

And this is the point in the forum program
where we're switching from talking about really earlier-
stage access to capital in the private market. And now
we're starting to look at how do you actually scale and
take those companies that are good candidates and bring
them to the public market.
So with that, we'll tune in to Pete.
(A video of Mr. Flint's address plays from
Track 2 timestamp 33:40 through timestamp 40:50.)
SPOTLIGHT: BUILDING TOMORROW'S PUBLIC COMPANIES
MS. MILLER: All right. Well that is the
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MS. MILLER: So Brian, as we kick things off a little bit, and while we're working on making sure that Steve is able to be connected, talk to me a little bit and share a little bit of your perspective and background in helping companies go public and guiding them when they are public, because you are the head legal guy at a very large public company that has quite a market presence.

MR. LEVEY: Absolutely. Happy to help. And I just want to start, you know, by thanking you again.

And also, just to say that, you know, the views that I'm expressing today are, you know, my personal views, they don't necessarily reflect those of my employer, nor of the SEC Advisory Committee on which I sit.

I think with respect to Upwork, our 2018 IPO provided us, you know, five primary benefits, certainly a, you know, long arduous process to get there. But for us it really served as a branding event which I believe continues to give us more credibility, prestige, with customers of all sizes.

I think secondly, as a company that had been formed 15 years before the IPO, and because we generally restricted stock transfers in the secondary market for a good five years before the IPO going public did provide

|  | Page 74 |  | Page 76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | liquidity access for existing investors and employees. | 1 | traveling. There's a lot that's been said about what's |
| 2 | I think third, it -- the IPO gave us the | 2 | happening with roadshows, and we've got Steve here. |
| 3 | optionality more than anything else to more readily use | 3 | Steve, welcome. I see your video. Glad that |
| 4 | our publicly traded stock if we wanted to do a stock | 4 | you're here. Just curious what you're thinking about |
| 5 | acquisition for example, employee retention. It also | 5 | kind of the evolution of roadshows, and how you go about |
| 6 | gave us the ability, for example, to hand-pick, you | 6 | marketing pre-IPO to investors, if that's something that |
| 7 | know, the -- what we thought were going to be buying | 7 | you're hearing people talk about? |
| 8 | whole institutional investors who we wanted to receive | 8 | MR. GRAHAM: Martha, I'm assuming that was a |
| 9 | the newly issued shares. | 9 | question for Brian. |
| 10 | I will say that looking back now, a number of | 10 | MS. MILLER: It is a question for whoever of |
| 11 | them were much more short-term focused than we had | 11 | the two of you would like to answer it, Steve. |
| 12 | anticipated. So I will say that's one of the biggest | 12 | MR. GRAHAM: Well I just parachuted into |
| 13 | disappointments for me thus far. | 13 | cyberspace. I'm just, I'm catching up. |
| 14 | And then lastly, even though we didn't need to | 14 | MS. MILLER: A late dramatic entrance is |
| 15 | go public and to raise capital, the IPO also opens up | 15 | always impactful, Steve. We're glad to have you. |
| 16 | the public capital markets for additional financing, | 16 | MR. GRAHAM: Okay. It sounded to me like I |
| 17 | capital raising, and it also results in just higher | 17 | dropped in when Brian was talking about the advantages |
| 18 | valuations, I think, because there's no longer the | 18 | of going public. |
| 19 | illiquidity discount that's associated with the private | 19 | MR. LEVEY: Yes. |
| 20 | market. And my sense is, is that this year's public | 20 | MR. GRAHAM: So you had a question, Martha, |
| 21 | convertible debt market has seen much better pricing for | 21 | and you know, I'll jump in and talk about the |
| 22 | issuers than a private debt market would be priced. | 22 | disadvantages as soon as you finish. |
| 23 | And just sort of lastly, in connection with | 23 | MR. LEVEY: Yeah. As far as what companies |
| 24 | our IPO process, I do think the Commission's efforts to | 24 | are doing now, I really don't have a sense, Martha. I |
| 25 | facilitate IPOs over the past several years helped us on | 25 | think the investment banks and the other -- you know, |
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| 1 | several fronts. First, it enabled us to, you know, | 1 | the big law firms may have a better sense just because |
| 2 | conduct useful non-deal roadshows, testing the waters | 2 | they're more repeat players in the space. |
| 3 | meetings to get our name out there, to tell our story; | 3 | But I do think it's, you know, with the |
| 4 | and frankly, refine our messaging before the formal | 4 | benefits come the disadvantages. And you know, we |
| 5 | roadshow. | 5 | certainly are facing some of those now. But I'm |
| 6 | Second, you know, the initial S-1 confidential | 6 | feeling, you know, that might be a good segue into Steve |
| 7 | filing was helpful; and lastly, the SEC comment letter | 7 | and sort of giving his sense of some of the negatives so |
| 8 | process seemed more focused on substantive issues as | 8 | -- of being public or thinking about going public. |
| 9 | opposed to what I view as more nitty form items that I | 9 | MR. GRAHAM: Sure, let me just kind of jump |
| 10 | had seen in my prior IPO experiences. | 10 | into that. Everyone hears about cost, cost and more |
| 11 | So that's a flavor, you know, of sort of the | 11 | cost. And certainly, it is a very expensive and time- |
| 12 | pros of going public. And I don't know if that -- | 12 | consuming process, as well as, an expensive and time- |
| 13 | MS. MILLER: Yeah. | 13 | consuming existence. You'll need a team of lawyers and |
| 14 | MR. LEVEY: -- if that's helpful. | 14 | accountants to assist with the drafting of the |
| 15 | MS. MILLER: That's interesting. So Brian, | 15 | registration, stamping and getting it through the SEC. |
| 16 | question for you. As you're thinking about the -- you | 16 | You'll need increased infrastructure in terms |
| 17 | got your experience going public. And I have a feeling | 17 | of financial legal personnel to handle finances, you |
| 18 | that being right in the heart of the valley, you've got | 18 | have to communicate with the street and deal with |
| 19 | lots of -- that reach out to you and say, "Okay. We're | 19 | periodic reporting requirements. Listing fees or other |
| 20 | thinking about it, but we're trying to weigh different | 20 | consulting fees, and by the time all is said and done, |
| 21 | things." | 21 | the tab for going public typically is in the range of 2- |
| 22 | What are you hearing people talk about right | 22 | $1 / 2$ to $\$ 4$ million. And of course, investment bankers |
| 23 | now as they're looking at the changed economic | 23 | are there to earn a fee of -- ranging between, you know, |
| 24 | environment? | 24 | 4 to 7 percent of the gross proceeds. |
| 25 | So I know that we have COVID-19, people aren't | 25 | And certain other costs incurred in the run-up |

to an IPO will of course be permanent. The enhanced infrastructure is not going anywhere. There's the costs associated with ongoing statutory and regulatory compliance, your $10-\mathrm{K}$, your $10-\mathrm{Qs}$, the occasional $8-\mathrm{K}$, your proxy statement, your annual meeting. There's a quarterly earnings release establishing complying with public company policies such as the insider trading policy and you know, dealing with the things that will come along in what may seem to be an endless flow.

For example, wrestling with disclosure issues and Regulation FD and addressing disgruntled shareholders. The annual costs of all that is between 1 and $\$ 2$ million, and those are just the hard-dollar costs.

In addition to the hard-dollar cost, you'll need to understand that your life will no longer be your own. There will be increased investor scrutiny, you will have the street and your public shareholders to be responsive to. Disclosure requirements may force public disclosure of information that you would rather not ever disclose, or at least not yet, such as a key metrics of your business; you become more of an open book to your competitors. Executive compensation, security breaches, executive and board changes, unsuccessful business ventures, et cetera.

EGCs is depriving investors of meaningful information.
You know, and second, while auditor attestation of internal controls is important, it does seem like there's an opportunity for a longer phase and period following a company's IPO, even if the issuer would otherwise qualify as an accelerated or largeaccelerated filer.

We had to comply with SOX 404(b) attestation in only our second $10-\mathrm{K}$ after going public because the company qualified as a large accelerated filer and was no longer an EGC. And that transition was very expensive, required a ton of internal effort, especially on the heels of the very time-consuming and expensive IPO process, you know, Steve, as you just referred to.

I should note that the SEC has made good progress here, having already changed the definition of a smaller reporting company and allowing smaller companies to avoid the attestation requirement. I just think there may be more opportunity to tailor their requirements on this front.

You know, I don't -- and with that, Stephen, you know, do you have any thoughts on quarterly reporting and sort of a sense of short, you know, shorttermism versus long-termism, for example?

MR. GRAHAM: Good points. Quarterly reporting

There is also, of course, the potential for stock price volatility to have an adverse effect on the employment realm, not to mention, generating shareholder suits. And so you can add greater exposure to litigation and the activist shareholders to the list.

And finally, there is the potential for employee turnover when they suddenly have access to liquidity, when their stock options might begin to mean something. But not withstanding all of that, you decide to go public anyway, and in that case, you'll be spending a lot of time drafting disclosure. The reporting regimen does provide for some areas of scale disclosure for small companies.

And I was going to flip it back to Brian and ask what are some of the areas that -- where you think it makes sense to scale, and do you view any of these requirements as kind of out of whack in terms of driving shareholder value?

MR. LEVEY: Yeah, thanks for that, Stephen.
I definitely have, I think two observations here. First, I think the compensation disclosure for issue is issuers other than smaller reporting companies and emerging-growth companies is burdensome and expensive. And I don't get the sense that the streamlined disclosure requirements for these SRCs and
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is important, and I think it generally works well. I think there's some things around the edges that I think might be useful. There is the potential for more streamlining, you know, for example, sometimes your risk factors manage to sneak into your queue as well, and I'm of the view that 40 or 50 pages of risk factors doesn't do anybody any good; figure out some kind of way to deal with that.

I know that a lot of it is based on fear. "If my competitors built that risk factor in, then I better put it in, too." And "If one paragraph is good, then three paragraphs has got to be even better."

But as far as, you know, things that, you know, that we might be thinking about, one is just note that foreign private issuers are not required to do quarterly reporting. If they are not required to do reporting, then why shouldn't domestic issuers, you know, have the -- be extended the same -- that same curtesy.

And sometimes I wonder to what extent investors really care about $10-\mathrm{Qs}$ in any event. Stock price changes tend to be driven by earnings releases and calls and not by quarterly disclosures in a 10-Q.

MR. LEVEY: Yeah, I agree.
MR. GRAHAM: But it can be hard for companies
to resist managing to quarterly results instead of managing to long-term business strategy. I have always been of the view that you should do what is best for the business long-term and not be driven by quarterly -- by the quarterly earnings call.

I've never thought that, "The market made me do it" was a very good defense.

## MR. LEVEY: Mm-hmm.

MR. GRAHAM: But you have a strategy, you have a vision; make sure you are understood by the street, and investors can invest in your company or not, you know, based on that vision, not on your return stock price is sometimes easier said than done, but you're running the business, not the -- not some outsider.

And on the subject of doing what you think is best for the business, and not bowing down to outside forces, that brings to mind proxy advisory firms.

And Brian, I just -- I thought I might get your thoughts on these firms and related to that, what you might think about the shareholder proposal regimen.

MR. LEVEY: No, thanks for asking, Steve.
I -- on the -- first addressing the
shareholder proposal, I do think it's currently far too easy to being a shareholder proposal. I'm certainly very supportive of the SEC's proposed 14a-8 Amendment to
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increase the $\$ 2,000$ minimum ownership threshold that's required now. It's just far too low and I'm not sure that's changed in decades.

I also do believe that the proxy advisory firms review, you know, governance per se, in a black box and do so pretty formulaically. I think the current system doesn't account for the company's results, it's stage of development, because the governance of a newly public company should look very different than a mature company. Nor do I think it accounts for the company's voluntary governance improvements over time.

And all this sort of tied together with the fact that large, passive investment funds or the index funds have essentially handed over their voting rights to the proxy advisors. The proxy advisory firms wield a ton of power these days.

So when combined with the current shareholder proposal regimen, you know, companies are being forced to make governance changes that aren't necessarily in the interest of the company, but rather, the small activist stockholders. And I get the sense that large investors aren't affirmatively driving many governance changes, but rather, they're voting for them after much smaller stockholders put them on the ballot and are almost unanimously supported by the proxy advisory firm.

So this is a frustrating environment for a
company such as ours, which has been public for less than two years, has customary protections for a young public company, and where there is solid stockholder representation on our board because we have several board members who hold sizeable equity stakes in the company.

So just some thoughts there. Maybe a little bit of segue, yeah, Stephen you're -- you know, you see a lot of firms out there. Are there any, you know, types of companies, sectors of companies you see in the current marketplace who want to go public, you know, who -- those who want to stay private?

Give me a sense of, you know, the profile of a company, you know, you would recommend they go public or not go public if you can.

MR. GRAHAM: Yeah. And usually when these kinds of questions come up, it's the -- it just -- well there's so many people that are just kind of ignorant, I guess, to the process. Which is not surprising; this is not their life. But they have a company and their dream is to go public, whatever that means.

But it sounds good and kind of establishes your brand and suddenly it's -- you get all this liquidity and everything else, which as we well know, if
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you -- if you're not really qualified to go public and you manage to drive the process, to get somebody to kind of help you get public when you're -- you know, before you're ready, all those benefits that you thought you might realize you don't receive, and all the disadvantages you do receive.

MR. LEVEY: Mm-hmm.
MR. GRAHAM: Care need to be used in that regard. I would think that, you know, at the top of the list would be, you know, having a solid business model and the ability to track analyst coverage. Personnel: you need a complete management team, IR team, legal team, your financials need to be complete, transparent, showing trends that are expected for the particular industry.

The financial statements must have been previously audited by a top accounting firm to reduce the likelihood of any accounting-related delays or surprises, and your board has to be independent with the qualifications needed to staff the committees required of a public company.

For example, audit committee financial experts, others with financial expertise to staff the audit committee. You need a relatively clean and simple cap table, governance; you're ready to face public
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | companies' scrutiny. And tech has been leading the way | 1 | Secretary and Treasurer of Smith-Midland Corporation; |
| 2 | in that sector, and I do a profile as high-revenue | 2 | Mary Ann Scully, Chairman and CEO of Howard Bank; and |
| 3 | growth that would be in the -- defined as 30 percent | 3 | David Wagner, President and CEO of Zix Corporation. |
| 4 | plus. | 4 | The three of them are going to start off with |
| 5 | MR. LEVEY: Mm-hmm. | 5 | a little bit of background on their companies: who they |
| 6 | MR. GRAHAM: I think part of the question is, | 6 | are and when they entered the public market. |
| 7 | you know, who wants to go public and who doesn't. And | 7 | Thank you very much, AJ, David and Mary Ann |
| 8 | then again, the real question is -- I mean, there's | 8 | for joining us today. Glad to have you here. |
| 9 | plenty of companies across sectors who want to go public | 9 | MR. KRICK: Thank you. |
| 10 | and the real question is, "Do you meet the criteria?" | 10 | MS. MILLER: Wonderful. AJ, do you want to |
| 11 | You have an unattractive story, unattractive financials, | 11 | kick us off and go first? Alphabetically, you've |
| 12 | management not ready, and just the opposite of the list | 12 | probably been on this cart before, first name. |
| 13 | that I just provided. | 13 | MR. KRICK: Yeah, yeah, yeah. The A with a |
| 14 | And then I suppose there are those companies | 14 | period always starts first, right? |
| 15 | that do qualify but don't want to, and sometimes get | 15 | So I work for Smith-Midland, I'm the CFO, |
| 16 | forced to anyway because of the liquidity that is | 16 | Secretary, Treasurer. The company's been in business |
| 17 | demanded by their employees and by their investors. | 17 | for about 60 years. We do precast concrete products, so |
| 18 | MS. MILLER: I think, Steve, one thing you've | 18 | we manufacture, we sell, we license, we rent that. |
| 19 | made very clear, there's a lot of variables that need to | 19 | And if you don't know what precast concrete |
| 20 | be considered as you're weighing the -- when to go | 20 | is, it's concrete that is made in a facility and not |
| 21 | public and what you're looking at, whether it is driven | 21 | poured on site. So if you're ever driving down the |
| 22 | by liquidity or by need to tap the market for repeat | 22 | road, you'll see a concrete barrier; then most likely, |
| 23 | access to capital. | 23 | that came from either our plant or one of our licensee's |
| 24 | But I just want to say thank you to both of | 24 | plants. |
| 25 | you for sharing your perspective. We're coming up on | 25 | So the company was private for quite some time |
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| 1 | time, and I'm the taskmaster that's keeping us on a | 1 | and then in 1995 the company determined to go from a |
| 2 | schedule today. | 2 | debt-structure to an equity-structure and did the IPO on |
| 3 | So I'm going to -- unfortunately I have to | 3 | NASDAQ. And then in the early 2000s, we were delisted |
| 4 | wrap this, but you've queued-up a number of topics that | 4 | from NASDAQ and we've been on OTC ever since. |
| 5 | will be very insightful for our 4 p.m. Eastern session | 5 | Back in 2015, we met the criteria of the top, |
| 6 | focused on challenges and opportunities that we can | 6 | roughly 450 out of 10,000 OTC companies to be on their |
| 7 | address with public companies. | 7 | OTCQX platform, and it has been tremendous for us; it's |
| 8 | It is now my pleasure to switch us over into | 8 | been a great place to get some liquidity for our |
| 9 | our final keynotes from the day featuring Susan Tynan | 9 | investors. So I guess that's kind of our story and |
| 10 | who is the founder and CEO of Framebridge. And so we've | 10 | we'll get more into that. |
| 11 | got her video queued up. | 11 | So Dave, I think you're next in line, |
| 12 | And again, Steve, Brian, thank you very much | 12 | alphabetically? |
| 13 | for being with us today. I appreciate it. | 13 | MR. WAGNER: All right. Thank you, AJ. |
| 14 | MR. LEVEY: Our pleasure. | 14 | My name's Dave Wagner, President and CEO of |
| 15 | KEYNOTE: SCALING YOUR IDEA | 15 | Zix Corp. Zix is a leading provider of a cloud email |
| 16 | (A video of Mr. Tynan's address plays from | 16 | security productivity and compliance solutions for |
| 17 | Track 3 timestamp 03:16 through timestamp 08:50.) | 17 | businesses of all sizes. We service about 30 percent of |
| 18 | MS. MILLER: All right. We cut that last bit | 18 | the hospitals in the United States, 31 percent to the |
| 19 | of her remarks a little bit short, but appreciate | 19 | banks and credit unions. So the mid-market buyer of |
| 20 | Susan's thoughts as an entrepreneur based here in D.C. | 20 | compliance-oriented solutions is really the market |
| 21 | SPOTLIGHT: SMALL CAP TODAY | 21 | vertical that we're focused on and customers that we |
| 22 | MS. MILLER: It is now my pleasure to kick-off | 22 | service. |
| 23 | our fifth and final spotlight discussion on small cap | 23 | We have about \$214 million of annual recurring |
| 24 | today, how smaller public companies overcome challenges | 24 | revenue, about 540 employees. We just celebrated our |
| 25 | in today's market climate, featuring AJ Krick, CFO, | 25 | 30th year on the NASDAQ, so quite an old public company |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | for a micro-cap company. We raised $\$ 100$ million in the | 1 | naturally by virtue of being kind of a regulated |
| 2 | late '90s to get the company started. We ended up | 2 | industry. |
| 3 | buying back shares over the late 2000s: 2009, 2015, and | 3 | But we believe it's given us that access to |
| 4 | then last year, we raised $\$ 100$ million in a pipe | 4 | capital, it's given us that transparency that our |
| 5 | offering. | 5 | shareholders want, it's given them the optionality on |
| 6 | Our passion right now as it relates to the | 6 | clearly, as a micro-cap, that doesn't mean that you |
| 7 | investment community is ESG. I've been spending a lot | 7 | necessarily have the liquidity that sometimes your |
| 8 | of time thinking about ESG, working on ESG and have | 8 | shareholders want, but that's something that we believe |
| 9 | earned the ISS ESG premiere designation as a company in | 9 | is ultimately, again, on an optional basis, only |
| 10 | the top decile for ESG. And so looking forward to | 10 | available as an SEC registrant. |
| 11 | talking to you more about the issues we face as a | 11 | So I don't know how David and AJ -- I know a |
| 12 | longtime public company. | 12 | little bit how you've experienced the liquidity |
| 13 | Mary Ann -- | 13 | challenges of micro-cap, but you know, I guess could |
| 14 | MS. SCULLY: Sure. I'll get started. | 14 | comment on how you found out that -- |
| 15 | So Howard Bank is a \$2-1/2 billion bank | 15 | MR. KRICK: Yeah. I'll go. So for us, you |
| 16 | headquartered in Baltimore. We're very focused on | 16 | know, we're $\$ 50$ million in revenue, so you know, the |
| 17 | people and place, so we work with SME, small and medium | 17 | word micro-cap takes on a bigger and bigger company in |
| 18 | sized enterprises that are based either in the greater | 18 | the year. So we're in the nano-cap spaces is what we |
| 19 | Baltimore region or in the greater Washington areas and | 19 | call it around here. |
| 20 | Philadelphia areas immediately contiguous to those. | 20 | So you know, you talked about raising money, |
| 21 | So the irony in our business model is that we | 21 | but you know, we're -- as I said, we're on OTCQX, which |
| 22 | focus exclusively on privately-held, very often family- | 22 | is a secondary trading market, so it's not really a |
| 23 | owned businesses. Started the bank in 2004, so we're a | 23 | platform for raising capital per se. We just have it |
| 24 | young company and became an SEC registrant in 2012 and | 24 | because there's a little bit of liquidity for our |
| 25 | listed on NASDAQ at that point in time. | 25 | investors, but it's a major, major challenge. It being |
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| 1 | We've done a couple of capital offerings: two | 1 | so small, you know, we're training -- our average daily |
| 2 | independently, one associated with an acquisition, and | 2 | volume's roughly 5,000 shares. |
| 3 | have also grown in capital based if you need 2-1/2 | 3 | So it's not a lot, you know, it takes a long |
| 4 | billion in assets through some whole bank acquisitions. | 4 | time to get a -- get in a big position, and it takes a |
| 5 | But while we're focused on and respectful of | 5 | long time to get out of a big position. So definitely a |
| 6 | family-owned businesses, we decided in 2012 that we | 6 | lot of challenges there being in that nano-cap space. |
| 7 | needed to be an SEC registrant in order to have access | 7 | What about you, Dave? |
| 8 | to the kind of capital that you need to be relevant to | 8 | MR. WAGNER: Well you know, so we're a step up |
| 9 | business owners and tactful in your communities and in | 9 | from that, but still very much in the micro-cap space. |
| 10 | order to be totally transparent. | 10 | Our maturity has allowed us to get some analyst |
| 11 | Member banks that started early in the 21st | 11 | coverage, which, we have about six covering analysts |
| 12 | Century had a repeat business model that basically went | 12 | now, which is certainly helpful. |
| 13 | along the lines of we'll start, we'll raise some capital | 13 | But the market has changed so much. I was a |
| 14 | and then we'll sell. And we wanted to have at least the | 14 | public company CFO for more than a decade; started 10 |
| 15 | option to do something other than that for a longer-term | 15 | years ago. And what I noticed coming back into the |
| 16 | basis. | 16 | public markets in 2016 is how much the ETFs and the |
| 17 | And so we needed to focus on optionality for | 17 | algo-traders have changed the complexion of what we are |
| 18 | our original shareholders; a way for them on their own, | 18 | facing in the public markets. |
| 19 | and on their own timeframe, to liquidate their | 19 | And so the volatility is -- that is sometimes |
| 20 | investment and make those initially illiquidity | 20 | created by those phenomenon where we get basketed in |
| 21 | investments more liquid. | 21 | with cybersecurity stocks or basketed in with software |
| 22 | We've been very happy with the SEC | 22 | stocks. And then as a small company, get moved around |
| 23 | registration and with the public role. We're a highly | 23 | sometimes disproportionately as those positions move, |
| 24 | regulated company, and so that means some of the | 24 | creating a lot of volatility. |
| 25 | quarterly requirements and the transparency just comes | 25 | So you know, we've had a good -- great |

experience being a publicly-listed company for a long time. But one of the challenges we're facing now is this -- the volatility as the character of the shareholder base has changed.

Mary Ann, are you --
MS. SCULLY: Yeah, it's an interesting -- it's an interesting perspective. I mean, we, because of the growth that we've had, are close to a 200 million market cap; it'll be around 186 now. But one of the interesting dynamics of our market capitalization is that the last acquisition that we did was of a privately-held company that had recapitalized themselves a few years before and they had a few institutional investors with a private equity company.

So first of all, our market cap has been impacted by any very large shareholders. And we found those large shareholders and the traditional institutional shareholders, plus our original retail shareholders going back to 2004, and going back to one of the companies that we bought that had a regional shareholder base, have helped to offset that general trend.

But we've certainly seen in the last year -let's call it the good and the bad of being in an index where less than 2,000 can be. And the good news is that
said that, I think that I would agree with one of the previous panelists who indicated that there are now some institutional investors; not all, but some, who have completely abdicated their own role, again, in analyzing the specifics of your governance: you're looking at a chart and their checking boxes.

The proxy advisors, I think theoretically, provide a very useful role, but I think that the way that they execute occasionally leaves a lot to be desired. You tend to have sometimes very junior people, again, using more of a checkbox formula rather than an actual analysis. There are a lot of rules around whether or not, and when and how you can speak to proxy advisors to have it not billed as a company and not be able to talk to our stakeholders. And the proxy advisors at this point are stakeholders.

And I think there are also some conflict in some of the proxy advisors have in terms of additional services and analyses that they would like to provide, but understandably, their business model doesn't allow them to provide that free of charge. And so there is some potential elements of conflict.

So proxy advisors, for us, are part of the game. We're happy to be in the game. I think sometimes the actions leave something to be desired. And I know

## Page 95

does give us more visibility. We're covered by about four institutional analysts. And that also gives you more of a platform for liquidity. For the minute you're in an index, you're now beginning to be part of those index funds, an integral part of the funds.

And what we have seen in the last year, and in particular, with the challenges of the pandemic, that the ETFs are playing a more and more dominant role, I think, in all of our lives. And that is difficult because basically, it means that rather than having institutional or retail shareholders who can take that transparent information -- you give them, I would say, talk to you. We're obviously very accessible, both as a microcap and as a community bank.

But the ETFs are not talking to you, they're basing all of this purely on a group of metrics. And to your point, David, on industries rather than on the unique characteristics of a company.

MR. WAGNER: Yeah, and maybe a derivative act, Mary Ann, you were talking about is the proxy advisors then, and how many of the votes are driven by, you know, proxy. How are you finding that in your business?

MS. SCULLY: Well we've been challenged and yeah, we've never had a proxy advisor who's done anything other than support our proposals. But having
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that the SEC's going to be playing more of a role going forward in providing them with the same sort of boundaries that they provide all of us, which, we think is good and we've been supportive of that.

MS. DAVIS: Hey all, this is Julie. We've got a question that I wanted to lob into you. We've had a couple folks ask about the fact that the SEC's recording regimen provides for some areas of scale disclosure for a smaller company, such as yours. And I know you talked a little bit about that opportunity to scale.

But we got one particular question asking if you view any of the requirements as being out of whack in terms of the shareholder value when it drives, whether there's -- so maybe some other areas that you would like to see scaled, or if you think they're appropriate.

MR. WAGNER: AJ, you're the smallest stack in terms of market cap or how -- what would your thoughts be?

MR. KRICK: Yeah. So you know, from us, I'm in a department with five people. So you know, it all falls onto my lap. I wear, you know, CFO, secretary, treasurer, IR, you know, you name it, I'm there. I'm on the production floor. So it can be a burden being as small as we are; however, I will say a lot of the

1 disclosure that we put out there has drawn a lot of
investors.

So just the NDNA that's required, you know, that actually does help us quite a bit because a lot of times, if I'm on the shop floor, an investor's going to call in and I'm not going to be able to answer the questions, right?

So for us, even though it's a burden, I think it is a very useful tool, filing quarterly -- you know, all the required filings. But you know, as again, I have all the same filing requirements as, you know, Dave and even bigger companies. So that is fairly tough.

MR. WAGNER: Yeah. And for us it's not so much the scaling. I found -- I think it was Mary Ann that really emphasized this transparency as something that, you know, we're moving to a more and more and more transparent world. And so we recognize that at Zix and we're embracing that at Zix. And we serve complianceoriented buyers, and so they want that transparency from us.

But it's more the changing regulations that -and accounting practices -- when they change, it creates a lot of extra work. And so I think there are a lot of things that the FASB thinks about, and they think it's going to help shareholders and maybe it does.
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But you know, these accounting rule changes and run team back through, you know, every contract we have and sales compensation and as these -- or Zix changes, these put a big -- it's the changes that put the bigger burden on the team that I find and I wonder if sometimes, you know, we don't -- just that change for change sake as opposed to recognizing the value of being a constituent and following through and letting the companies, you know, maturing to the processes. It's the change in process is what stresses my team.

MS. SCULLY: So David, I would agree, but let me ask if you agree with this.

I think it's less the fact that the SEC registration requirements are that you need to report quarterly. And I'm always very appreciative of the small issue where exceptions -- you know, I could make some distinctions that I know, again, we file quarterly reports for our regulators as well, for the FDIC. They have some interesting rules on their quarterly call reports where some things are only reported every six months and some things are only reported annually.

So there's a skeleton of the information that's always going to be useful to an institutional investor. But other pieces, they might be looking at it less frequently. So it lets me -- it lets me toggle
that balance between transparency and the cost.
But I would agree with you. And I do think the fact that the SEC provides information quarterly isn't in and of itself the challenge; it's what goes with that. I mean, we've had some -- many sudden changes were long-anticipated but perhaps, unwise changes that FASB has imposed on our industry as well. And it's those changes, rather than the fact that you have to report them quarterly, that really drive a lot of issues.

And then it's also what do some people do with that now. Some of the previous panelists talked about this, but the expectation in some quarters that you're going to give earnings which tends to make you very short-term oriented unless you're passionate about resisting.

And we do try to focus very much on long-term strategy, so it can be difficult when you're providing not only quarterly retrospective information, but you've got people that then want you to pick out your crystal ball and you tell them what's going to happen six months from now.

So it's the unintended consequences rather than the reporting itself, I think. And I think that's what you're saying in terms of the vast few rules as
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well.
MS. MILLER: Well Mary Ann, you saying the crystal ball, I think, is the perfect segue. I've got a good crystal ball that you just all three queued-up incredible thoughts for this afternoon's discussion on ways that people can develop recommendation and address some of these challenges. I think that 20 minutes is nowhere nearly enough time to delve into how do we actually solve all of them, but you've pieced out a lot of wonderful issues today.

So thank you all very much for joining us and I hope that we can continue this important conversation later this afternoon.

So today, to recap, we've heard from a number of thought leaders with fantastic insight. And now it is your turn, as our participants in the forum, to take what you've heard, as well as, the unique perspectives that each of you bring to help shape recommendations for how the rule book should change to meet evolving market demands.

As you will have guessed, if you participated in an SEC event before, the fantastic perspectives you've heard today should be attributed to the speakers, not necessarily the Commission or commissioners. And please feel free to keep sharing some of the wonderful
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|  | Page 102 |  | Page 104 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ideas that you heard on social media and tenuous | 1 | been seeing each other in person and sitting in |
| 2 | speakers using the handles flagged in our forum program. | 2 | conference rooms together and working in-person like |
| 3 | Please also continue to think about ideas. | 3 | we're used to since early March, we're honored to bring |
| 4 | Type those into the WebEx or email | 4 | so many of you here today to talk about these important |
| 5 | smallbusiness@sec.gov. And I'm going to turn it over to | 5 | topics in a virtual setting. |
| 6 | my colleague, Colin Caleb. | 6 | Thank you for all that you have put into |
| 7 | Colin, did you hear? | 7 | making this your swarm of success and enjoy the break |
| 8 | MR. CALEB: Thank you, Martha. | 8 | for a little bit, everybody. Thank you. |
| 9 | So next up we'll have a 15-minute break, | 9 | (Whereupon, the forum was recessed.) |
| 10 | during which we hope you'll take a moment to pause, | 10 | ***** |
| 11 | reset, stretch, reflect on what you've heard thus far | 11 |  |
| 12 | today. And after the break we'll start our first policy | 12 |  |
| 13 | development session at 2:45, focused on exempt offerings | 13 |  |
| 14 | and the capital formation proposal. | 14 |  |
| 15 | This session is the venue to bring up topics | 15 |  |
| 16 | we've heard about today such as accredited investor | 16 |  |
| 17 | definition, amortization of the exempt offering rules, | 17 |  |
| 18 | guidance on demo days, crowd funding, Regulation A, mini | 18 |  |
| 19 | IPOs and finders. | 19 |  |
| 20 | So after Session 1, we'll start with two -- | 20 |  |
| 21 | after Session 1 we'll start Session 2 at 4 p.m.: "On | 21 |  |
| 22 | the Path to IPO and Small Cap Company Perspectives." | 22 |  |
| 23 | This session is a venue to bring up topics | 23 |  |
| 24 | we've heard about today such as public company | 24 |  |
| 25 | disclosures, SOX 404(b), research coverage, secondary | 25 |  |
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| 1 | liquidity and other topics highlighted today. |  |  |
| 2 | Both of those sessions are accessible solely |  |  |
| 3 | through WebEx given their interactive nature. |  |  |
| 4 | Registered participants should have a unique link in |  |  |
| 5 | their inbox. For more details on how to participate, |  |  |
| 6 | head over to our forum webpage. And before -- |  |  |
| 7 | MS. MILLER: Wonderful. And thank you very |  |  |
| 8 | much. So before we head over to the break, I want to |  |  |
| 9 | take a moment and I want to thank the team who has been |  |  |
| 10 | working behind the scenes tirelessly to make this event |  |  |
| 11 | possible. |  |  |
| 12 | So that includes our team that's shown on the |  |  |
| 13 | display here, as well as, our Office of Information |  |  |
| 14 | Technology, Nick Bain, who's name you've seen on the |  |  |
| 15 | screen; and our Office of Public Affairs team who made |  |  |
| 16 | sure you know about this event and could engage with us. |  |  |
| 17 | In the U.S., I think we have the myth of the |  |  |
| 18 | solo entrepreneur. We like to attribute things to one |  |  |
| 19 | leader. You've seen my face a lot today. There is no |  |  |
| 20 | such thing as the solo entrepreneur who's leading |  |  |
| 21 | things, nor is there such a team -- thing as a really |  |  |
| 22 | small team pulling off a big and heavy lift. |  |  |
| 23 | So special gratitude to our team: Colin |  |  |
| 24 | Caleb, Julie Davis, Jessica McKinney, Jenny Riegel, |  |  |
| 25 | Malika Sullivan and Todd Vanlaere. While we haven't |  |  |
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