

Joseph Giovanniello Senior Vice President -Corporate and Regulatory Affairs LADENBURG THALMANN FINANCIAL SERVICES INC.

212-409-2544 • Direct 212-409-2575 • Fax jgjovanniello@ladenburg.com

by email to rule-comments@sec.gov

July 30, 2018

Mr. Brent J. Fields Secretary Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street N.E. Washington, DC 20549

Re:

Regulation Best Interest, etc.

Dear Secretary Fields:

On behalf of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services Inc. ("Ladenburg"), I am submitting comments on the SEC's Proposed Regulation Best Interest and related proposals.

Ladenburg supports a carefully-crafted, uniform fiduciary standard of care that will be applicable to all Financial Professionals who provide personalized investment advice to retail clients. Ladenburg strongly supports the proposed best interest standard of care, and with constructive feedback, supports the Proposed Rulemaking Package.

About Ladenburg

Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services Inc. (NYSE MKT: LTS, LTS PrA) is a publicly-traded diversified financial services company based in Miami, Florida. Ladenburg's subsidiaries include industry-leading independent broker-dealer firms Securities America, Inc., Securities Service Network, LLC, Investacorp, Inc.; dually-registered firms Triad Advisors, LLC and KMS Financial Services, Inc.; their affiliated investment adviser firms Securities America Advisors, Inc., Arbor Point Advisors, LLC, SSN Advisory, Inc., Investacorp Advisory Services, Inc., and Triad Hybrid Solutions, LLC; as well as Premier Trust, Inc., Ladenburg Thalmann Asset Management Inc., Highland Capital Brokerage, Inc., a leading independent life insurance brokerage company, and Ladenburg Thalmann & Co. Inc., a member of the New York Stock Exchange for over 135 years.

Discussion

1. Best Interest Standard of Care

As noted above, Ladenburg strongly supports the proposed best interest standard of care, and supports incorporating duties of care, skill, and loyalty in the best interest standard. The Proposed Rulemaking Package clearly defines the duty to act in the best interest of a retail customer, while recognizing that conflicts will inevitably exist. The Proposed Rulemaking Package provides a principles-based approach for firms to address these conflicts by requiring firms have policies and procedures in place to mitigate or eliminate conflicts, or to disclose them if these conflicts of interest cannot be mitigated or eliminated.

We recommend that the SEC revise the definition of retail customer to mirror FINRA rules and regulatory notices. Specifically, FINRA Rule 2111(b) provides for a lower standard of care when making a recommendation to a natural person with total assets of \$50 million, and FINRA Regulatory Notice 11-02 provides an exemption for institutional investors, as defined.

Ladenburg supports the SEC in recognizing the difference in business models for broker-dealers and investment advisors and proposing different standards that are based on a uniform set of principles. It is appropriate that the proposed best interest standard of care recognizes the differences in client relationships by proposing an episodic duty of care for a broker-dealer relationship and an ongoing duty of care for an investment adviser relationship.

Finally, we support a layered approach to disclosure that includes concise disclosure documents with hyperlinks to more detailed information on the firm's website. We agree with the SEC that firms must disclose: (a) the fact that they are acting in the capacity of a broker-dealer; (b) the fees and charges that apply; (c) the key facts regarding the scope of the relationship with customers; and (d) material conflicts of interest related to that relationship. We further support the fact that the Proposed Rulemaking Package does not require the elimination of all conflicts and does not per se prohibit specific products or transaction-based compensation, to enable retail investors to continue to maintain access to a broad array of advice, products, and services.

2. Form CRS Relationship Summary

The Proposed Rulemaking Package includes a requirement that a broker-dealer make two, potentially duplicative, disclosures: the Disclosure Obligation required by Regulation Best Interest; and the Form CRS Relationship Summary. Because the two broker-dealer disclosure requirements serve similar purposes and may provide duplicative information, we suggest that the SEC either eliminate the duplicative sections of the two disclosures or merge the two into one, uniform disclosure.

In recent years, there have been a number of studies that worked to determine how to design and implement effective disclosures that will be meaningful to clients. As these studies have yet to reach definitive conclusions, we believe that the proposed rulemaking package should allow firms flexibility to design more effective disclosures. We support electronic delivery of a concise disclosure document with hyperlinks to more detailed disclosures. This disclosure methodology will provide investors with the most up-to-date and complete information, while also facilitating a

Mr. Brent J. Fields July 30, 2018 Page 3

streamlined method for firms to update and maintain applicable disclosure information and updates to material conflicts of interest disclosures.

Ladenburg recognizes that Form CRS is intended to facilitate comparisons across firms; however, we believe that some of the prescribed language may result in unintended investor confusion. Additionally, we believe that such prescribed language will also undermine the relationship between investors and their Financial Professionals. For example, the requirement to include the statement, "our interests can conflict with your interests" is likely to lead to a reduced level of trust between clients and their Financial Professional. We strongly urge the SEC not to underestimate the value investors place on their relationship with their Financial Professional. The greatest benefit of the CRS will come in the conversations it facilitates between the client and their Financial Professional, and these conversations can be generated in ways that do not undermine such a relationship.

3. Restrictions on the Use of Certain Names or Titles

Ladenburg recognizes the SEC's intent in the Proposed Rulemaking Package when proposing to limit the use of certain names or titles. While we support the intended purpose of ensuring that retail investors understand the standard of care owed to them by their Financial Professional, we are concerned that this limitation is more form over substance. Simply restricting the use of one title is unlikely to prevent bad actors from adopting other misleading titles.

We are further concerned that the proposed limitation does not address "Affiliated Entities" The Proposed Rulemaking Package is clear as to when dual registrant firms and Financial Professionals could use the terms "adviser" or "advisor." However, a number of Ladenburg's subsidiary firms include a broker-dealer and investment adviser who are affiliates. For example, Securities America, Inc. is a registered broker-dealer and Securities America Advisors, Inc. is an affiliated registered investment adviser under common control with Securities America, Inc. For Financial Professionals registered with both of these entities as a registered representative and an investment adviser representative, it is not clear how the title restrictions would apply. This scenario is not unique to Ladenburg and its subsidiaries; rather, this is a common scenario in the independent financial services industry. Therefore, we suggest that the final rule specify that firms that are affiliated in this way and have their Financial Professionals registered with both entities will be treated as dual registrants.

Mr. Brent J. Fields July 30, 2018 Page 4

Conclusion

Ladenburg supports a carefully-crafted, uniform fiduciary standard of care that will be applicable to all Financial Professionals providing personalized investment advice to retail clients. With the consideration of the constructive feedback provided above, Ladenburg supports the Proposed Rulemaking Package.

Thank you.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph Giovanniello