

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Received
DEC 09 2014

Office of Administrative Law Judge

INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940
Release No. 3967/November 13, 2014

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-16274


IN THE MATTER OF

GREGORY VIOLA,
Respondent.

:
:
: RESPONDENTS MOTION FOR MORE
: DEFINITE STATEMENT
: PURSUANT TO RULE 220(d)
:
:
:

The Respondent, Gregory Viola, pursuant to Rule 220(d) respectfully requests the Securities and Exchange Commission to file a more definite statement of specified matter to fact and/or law and for same states as follows:

1. In the attached Respondent's Answer the Respondent denies any wrongdoing and violation of these Acts; and
2. This action is primarily based on Respondent's pleading guilty in the United States District Court of Connecticut on February 1, 2012 on two counts of Mail Fraud; and
3. The Respondent has continually challenged his plea based primarily on ineffective assistance of counsel and collusion of that counsel with the government in violation of Respondent's Fifth and Sixth Amendment rights.
4. This action is premature and should not accrue until and if Respondent's Motion to Vacate Sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is denied at all levels through a challenge to the



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Received
DEC 09 2014

Office of Administrative
Law Judge

INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940
Release No. 3967/November 13, 2014

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING
File No. 3-16274

IN THE MATTER OF

GREGORY VIOLA,
Respondent.

:
:
: RESPONDENTS MOTION FOR MORE
: DEFINITE STATEMENT
: PURSUANT TO RULE 220(d)
:
:
:

The Respondent, Gregory Viola, pursuant to Rule 220(d) respectfully requests the Securities and Exchange Commission to file a more definite statement of specified matter to fact and/or law and for same states as follows:

1. In the attached Respondent's Answer the Respondent denies any wrongdoing and violation of these Acts; and
2. This action is primarily based on Respondent's pleading guilty in the United States District Court of Connecticut on February 1, 2012 on two counts of Mail Fraud; and
3. The Respondent has continually challenged his plea based primarily on ineffective assistance of counsel and collusion of that counsel with the government in violation of Respondent's Fifth and Sixth Amendment rights.
4. This action is premature and should not accrue until and if Respondent's Motion to Vacate Sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is denied at all levels through a challenge to the

